ANKARA YILDIRIM BEYAZIT UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL-ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

EE401 - Jury Evaluation Form

….. / ….. / 20…..

Student Name: …………………………………………………………….   Room:

Advisor Name: ……………………………………………………………   Team Name:

Grading Criteria:		Poor	Avg	Good
A)	**Theoretical Knowledge**			**/10**
1.	Good explanation of the project topic, its aim and possible applications			
2.	Knowledge of related theory			
3.	Correct formulation and theoretical information			
4.	Literature survey and comparison of related methods			
5.				
B)	**Conceptual Design and Project Report**			**/10**
1.	Well structured conceptual design proposal (Requirements, System/Sub-system level diagrams, flow charts, gantt-chart, ...)			
2.	Justification and suitability of the proposed solution (Preliminary experiments for some sub-systems)			
3.	Well written report(Abstract, Introduction & Literature Review, Conceptual Design, Conclusion, formal language, no typos)			
4.	Project timeline planning (gantt-chart,...), well-balanced work sharing among team members (if applicable)			
5.				
C)	**Presentation Skills**			**/10**
1.	Quality of slides (Well drawn figures, good balance of text and visuals, easy to read fonts, no irrelevant info., no typos)			
2.	Ability to present the content within given time			
3.	Suitable clothing and appearance for the presentation			
4.	Presentation ability (no direct reading from slides/notes, good eye contact with audience, no monotonic voice)			
5.	Convincing answers to the questions			
6.				
AVERAGE: (A+B+C)/3				**/10**

Jury Member: …………………………………….…………………….   **Signature:**

Grading:
9-10: Unimportant or no lack of any issues expected in the given criteria.
7-8: A few minor issues in the given criteria are missing.
5-6: Although there are considerable amount of missing issues, it is still acceptable.
3-4: The missing issues are too much that the student should substantially improve
0-2: No or just a few issues are compatible with the given criteria.